



Econometric investigation of Internet banking adoption in Greece

Journal:	<i>Journal of Economic Studies</i>
Manuscript ID:	JES-Apr-2011-0042.R2
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Keywords:	Internet Banking, adoption , Greece

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Review

Econometric investigation of Internet banking adoption in Greece

1. Introduction

The study of the economics of diffusion of new technologies has received growing attention in recent years. 'Diffusion is the process in which an innovation is communicated through certain channels over time among the members of a social system' (Rogers, 2003). When new ideas are invented, diffused and adopted or rejected, they lead to certain consequences. Therefore, diffusion is a kind of social change, as alteration occurs in the structure and function of a social system. The end of the Information Technology (IT) boom has led to a consolidation of online technologies, as well as in the banking sector (Arnaboldi and Claeys, 2010). The developments in IT have had an enormous effect in the development of more flexible payment methods and more user-friendly banking services (Akinci *et al.*, 2004). The diffusion and development of Internet banking and other electronic payment systems by financial institutions is expected to result in more efficient banking systems. Internet banking is not just a process innovation that allows existing banks to centralise back office operations and increase their efficiency; the existence of virtual and branch offices has important effects on the interaction between customers and the bank (Arnaboldi and Claeys, 2010). Nowadays, banking institutions can offer their products and services through such electronic banking channels, more conveniently and economically without reducing the quality of the existing levels of service. The adoption of Internet banking by customers has been a well researched topic. Academic papers find that certain customers' characteristics have an impact on the adoption of Internet banking. More specifically, they report that male customers (Lawson and Todd, 2003; Akinci *et al.*, 2004 and Polasik and Wisniewski, 2009), young customers (Polatoglu and Ekin, 2001; Akinci *et al.*, 2004; Kim *et al.*, 2006; Chang, 2006; Flavian, 2006; Mavri and Ioannou, 2006; and Hernandez and Mazzon, 2006), with high levels of education (Lawson and Todd, 2003; Corrocher, 2006; Kim *et al.*, 2006; Hernandez and Mazzon, 2006 and Polasik and Wisniewski, 2009), high levels of income (Polatoglu and Ekin, 2004; Lawson and Todd, 2003; Corrocher, 2006; Chang, 2006 and Flavian, 2006), high levels of Internet use (Corrocher, 2006 and Kim *et al.*, 2006) and prior experience of other electronic banking technologies, such as Automated Teller Machines (ATMs), Phone banking, Mobile banking and Debit or Credit cards, are more likely to adopt Internet banking (Kolodinsky *et al.*, 2004; Kim *et al.*, 2006; and Polasik and Wisniewski, 2009).

This paper contributes to the large literature of the economics of diffusion and the economic analysis of the determinants of adoption of new technologies (Internet banking) using econometric models. It is a matter of vital importance for bank customers and managers to get full information about the economic benefits of Internet banking adoption. We test whether high branch fees have any impact on the probability of Internet banking adoption and whether branch dissatisfaction and previous experience with ATMs has a positive effect on the adoption of Internet Banking services. This article empirically examines hypotheses on the economics of banking services using a logit model of a survey from bank customers. The

1
2
3 research is primarily motivated by the lack of similar studies to explain empirically the
4 characteristics of Greek bank customers which affect the adoption of Internet banking.
5
6

7
8 This paper is organised as follows: Section 2 examines the theory of innovation and the
9 literature review of the Internet banking adoption, section 3 describes our data and section 4
10 explains the methodology employed. Section 5 is the empirical analysis of this paper and
11 section 6 is the concluding section, where we summarise all our findings.
12

13 14 **2. Theory-Literature Review**

15
16 Innovation¹ and the development of new banking products have become the key strategic
17 focus for the most successful banks (see Rogers, 2003 and Doyle, 1998). E-banking is an
18 innovative product that banking institutions offer all over the world with superior benefits for
19 the customers. However, there is a process through which customers pass from initially
20 gaining knowledge of an innovative product, to the confirmation of adoption of this particular
21 product. Rogers (2003) identified the innovation-decision process, and argues that there is a
22 relative speed at which an innovation is adopted by individuals, and this is called the rate of
23 adoption (for more information see Rogers, 2003). It is measured as the number of
24 individuals who adopt a new product in a specific period. According to Faria *et al.* (2002) the
25 various theoretical contributions of technology of diffusion have been classified into
26 epidemic, rank, stock, order and evolutionary models (Karshenas and Stoneman, 1995). In
27 epidemic models the explanation of technology diffusion depends on the spread of
28 information about the existence of a new technology (Mansfield *et al.*, 1977). In rank models
29 the decision to adopt an innovation or not depends on the different characteristics of potential
30 adopters (Davies, 1979), while in stock models this decision depends on the number of actual
31 users (Reinganum, 1981). In order models, the adoption depends on the order of adoption
32 with early adopters having greater benefits than later adopters (Fudenberg and Tirole, 1985)
33 and finally in the evolutionary models the decision to adopt a new technology comes after the
34 competition of two or more technologies (Colombo and Mosconi, 1995).
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 The adoption of Internet banking relies on the different characteristics of customers adopting
43 this technology, therefore we follow the rank approach. Socio-economic characteristics
44 (income, location, employment, education and family structure), personal and demographic
45 characteristics (age, gender, disability and ethnicity) as well as the familiarity with
46 technology are the determinants that affect the adoption of Internet banking (Lera-Lopez *et*
47 *al.*, 2010). As far as the gender is concerned, various studies report that male customers are
48 more likely to adopt Internet banking services than female customers (Lawson and Todd,
49 2003; Akinçi *et al.*, 2004 and Polasik and Wisniewski, 2009). This is probably due to the fact
50 that males are more exposed to technology and are more likely to explore new banking
51 technologies. The age of the customers is another important characteristic that affect the
52 probability of Internet banking adoption. Studies have shown that younger customer are more
53
54
55

56
57 ¹ An innovation is 'an idea, practice, or object that is perceived as new by an individual or other unit of
58 adoption' (Rogers, 2003).
59
60

1
2
3 prone to adopt Internet banking than older customers (Polatoglu and Ekin, 2001; Akinci *et*
4 *al.*, 2004; Kim *et al.*, 2006, Chang, 2006, Flavian, 2006; Mavri and Ioannou, 2006; and
5 Hernandez and Mazzon, 2006). Younger customers are more familiar with new technologies
6 and are less risk averse than senior customers. The level of education is another characteristic
7 that may affect the adoption of Internet banking. The majority of studies show that customers
8 with high levels of education are more likely to adopt Internet banking in relation to
9 customers with lower levels of education (Lawson and Todd, 2003; Corrocher, 2006; Kim *et*
10 *al.*, 2006; Hernandez and Mazzon, 2006 and Polasik and Wisniewski, 2009). Furthermore, it
11 is found that high level of customers' income is associated with the adoption of Internet
12 banking (Polatoglu and Ekin, 2004; Lawson and Todd, 2003; Corrocher, 2006; Chang, 2006
13 and Flavian, 2006). It is reported that customers with higher levels of education and income
14 are more exposed to new technologies and are more likely to adopt Internet banking.
15 Moreover, it is reported that customers with high levels of Internet use and computer ability
16 are more likely to adopt Internet banking (Corrocher, 2006 and Kim *et al.*, 2006). Likewise,
17 customers' prior experience of other electronic banking technologies, such as ATMs, Phone
18 Banking, Mobile Banking and Debit or Credit cards, has a positive effect on the adoption of
19 Internet banking services (Kolodinsky *et al.*, 2004; Kim *et al.*, 2006; and Polasik and
20 Wisniewski, 2009). Furthermore, it is reported that outright home owners are less likely to
21 adopt Internet banking. This is due to the fact that they have less complex transactions than
22 those in rental schemes, as they do not need to pay monthly instalments for their mortgages
23 (Chang, 2006). On the other hand, married banking customers are expected to perform more
24 complex transactions and therefore, are more likely to adopt Internet banking (Sohail and
25 Shanmugham, 2003). In addition, self-employed banking customers are more likely to adopt
26 Internet banking services as these customers would have to conduct all work related banking
27 transactions by themselves, and due to their limited time they would be open to new banking
28 technologies (Lawson and Todd, 2003).
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 3. Data Description

41
42 The banking sector in Greece has experienced major transformations and wide structural
43 reforms in 1990s and 2000, i.e. before and after the EMU participation (see Chortareas *et al.*,
44 2009) and the adoption of Internet banking technology. In this study, we extend the work
45 published by Mavri and Ioannou (2006) who analyse 2002 Greek data about the Internet
46 banking adoption for Athens and Thessaloniki; our recent survey has responses from
47 customers of all top Greek banks. Our data was collected in 2008 after the distribution of 300
48 questionnaires in Thessaloniki (Northern Greece). Thessaloniki was chosen mainly due to
49 convenience and the limited time of this study. Bryman and Bell (2003) explain that a
50 convenience sample is one that is simply available to the researcher by virtue of its
51 accessibility. The city of Thessaloniki is the second largest city in Greece, and the capital of
52 the Greek region of Macedonia. According to the 2001 census, the entire Thessaloniki area
53 had a population of 1,057,825 residents.
54
55
56
57

Recent reports by Eurostat (2009) show that only 38% of Greek households have Internet access with a 33% broadband (DSL) connections and 5% connections via modems. It is also reported that 53% of Greek individuals aged between 16 and 74 years old have never used the Internet and only 5% of the individuals who use the Internet perform online banking transactions in Greece. Furthermore, Thessaloniki had the largest increase in the Internet penetration for the year 2008 compared to other regions in Greece (see Observatory for the Greek IS, 2010).

The method of 'random sampling' was applied to this study as explained in Mavri and Ioannou (2006). The population of this research is individuals over the age of 18 years old, who perform banking transactions, either within bank branches or electronically. Respondents were selected randomly, after the distribution of questionnaires, outside banking institutions and other places of interest in Thessaloniki. The purpose of the questionnaire was to gather recent figures on the demographic characteristics of bank customers and get information on whether they are currently e-banking users or not. Moreover, we are interested in respondents' previous experience with the Internet and other electronic banking technologies, as we expect these to have a positive relationship with the adoption of e-banking. According to Mavri and Ioannou (2006), the number of observations required to estimate the probability that an individual is willing to use Greek online banking was estimated to be 178. For our study, we use equation (1) to calculate the minimum number of observations required. Following Mavri and Ioannou (2006), we estimate the probability that an individual will use e-banking services, so as the sample could be considered to be representative of the region. According to the Observatory for the Greek IS (2010), the penetration in Thessaloniki for the year 2008 was estimated at 19% compared to the overall Internet penetration of Greece.

$$Z = \frac{e}{\sqrt{p(1-p)/n}} \Leftrightarrow n = \frac{Z^2 [p(1-p)]}{e^2} \Leftrightarrow n = \frac{(1.96)^2 [0.19(0.81)]}{0.05^2} = 197 \quad (\text{Equation 1})$$

where p is the percentage of Internet penetration in Thessaloniki, equal to 19%. With a 95% confidence interval, we have a 5% tolerable error included in equation (5.3-9) with $Z= 1.96$.

Hence, we find that the number of observations required for estimating the probability of e-banking adoption for Thessaloniki is 197 responses.

In this study, a total of 217 usable questionnaires were collected, which turns to a 72 per cent respond rate. Out of the 217 respondents 93.5 % of the customers are e-banking users and branch banking users and they use either the telephone, ATMs, mobile or Internet banking to perform their banking transactions, while the remaining 6.5% of the customers choose to perform their bank transactions only to bank branches.

Table 1 presents the profile of the respondents (e-banking and non e-banking users) to this study. Note that there would be an equal distribution of questionnaires to men and women, however it seems that women were more willing to participate in this research; this result is in line with Gan *et al.* (2006) for New Zealand. The majority of our respondents are banking customers between 18 and 40 years old, female and married, with undergraduate degrees and

they earn between € 301 and € 1500 per month. Furthermore, they are private employees, homeowners, PC owners with Internet connection. As far as the branch banking is concerned, the majority of our respondents are satisfied with branch employees, while most of them never access banks' official web pages and pay at least € 1 or less for their branch transactions (per month). Regarding the Greek e-banking users, ATMs as well as telephone banking are more popular choices to them with 32.18% of the total respondents. ²

Table 1 Sample Demographic Characteristics for Greek Banking customers

Variables		No of respondents	Percentage
Age	18-40	136	62.67%
	41-60	63	29.03%
	61 and over	18	8.29%
	Total	217	100.00%
Gender	Male	99	45.62%
	Female	118	54.38%
	Total	217	100.00%
Marital Status	Single	60	27.65%
	Married/Living with partner	140	64.52%
	Divorced/ widowed/ separated	17	7.83%
	Total	217	100.00%
Educational Level	Primary school	7	3.23%
	High school	61	28.11%
	occupational course	57	26.27%
	Undergraduate Degree	73	33.64%
	Postgraduate Degree	17	7.83%
	Doctorate or higher	2	0.92%
	Total	217	100.00%
Monthly Income	0-€300	24	11.06%
	€301-€900	82	37.79%
	€901-€1500	84	38.71%
	€1500 and over	27	12.44%
	Total	217	100.00%
Employment Status	Public Employee	29	13.36%
	Private Employee	114	52.53%
	Self- Employed	30	13.82%
	Student	13	5.99%
	Retired	19	8.76%
	Home making	7	3.23%
	Serve army	1	0.46%

² More detailed information on descriptive statistics and correlations is presented in the Appendix.

			Table 1 continued
	Unemployed	4	1.84%
	Total	217	100.00%
Home Ownership	Home owner	164	75.58%
	Tenant	53	24.42%
	Total	217	100.00%
PC Ownership	Yes	164	75.58%
	No	53	24.42%
	Total	217	100.00%
Internet Connection	Yes	133	61.29%
	No	84	38.71%
	Total	217	100.00%
Satisfaction with branch banking employees	Very Satisfied	25	11.52%
	Satisfied	175	80.65%
	Not satisfied	17	7.83%
	Total	217	100.00%
Access to banks' web pages	Never	171	78.80%
	Once a week	13	5.99%
	Twice a week	5	2.30%
	More than 3 times per week	8	3.69%
	Once/ Twice per month	20	9.22%
	Total	217	100.00%
Average amount spent on branch fees per month	€ 1 or less	106	48.85%
	€2- €5	86	39.63%
	€ 6- € 10	8	3.69%
	€ 11- € 20	5	2.30%
	€ 21 and over	12	5.53%
	Total	217	100.00%
	Branch Banking users	172	98.85%
	Telephone Banking	56	32.18%
	ATM	203	93.10%
	Internet Banking	35	15.52%
	Mobile banking	23	11.49%

The low figures for Internet banking can be explained by the fact that the Broadband (DSL)

lowest rate of internet penetration in Europe are Spain, France and Portugal, followed by

Internet penetration rates. Delgado *et al.* (2007) report that in spite of the low Internet penetration reported for Spain and Portugal, the adoption of Internet banking was at higher levels when compared with France, Germany and Italy. They explain that this situation is not typical, as it exhibits a certain level of utilisation of the Internet banking channel, above what would be expected when considering the level of the Internet penetration in these countries.

4. Methodology

In order to examine the adoption of Internet Banking we need to estimate the probability of each customer using Internet Banking services. This can be achieved by employing the logit model. This model estimates for each customer the logarithm of the probability of using Internet Banking services to the probability of not using Internet Banking services. The logit can be calculated by the following equation: $\text{logit } p = \log \left[\frac{p_i}{1 - p_i} \right] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * \text{Old}_i + \beta_2 * \text{Male}_i + \beta_3 * \text{Married}_i + \beta_4 * \text{Uniedu}_i + \beta_5 * \text{Highinc}_i + \beta_6 * \text{Selfemp}_i + \beta_7 * \text{Homeowner}_i + \beta_8 * \text{Internetcon}_i + \beta_9 * \text{Branchdiss}_i + \beta_{10} * \text{Highbranchfees}_i + \beta_{11} * \text{ATMusers}_i$ (Equation 2)

Or it can be transformed to:

$$p_i = \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 * \text{Old}_i + \dots + \beta_{11} * \text{ATMusers}_i)}{1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 * \text{Old}_i + \dots + \beta_{11} * \text{ATMusers}_i)} \quad (\text{Equation 3})$$

We examine the adoption of Internet banking in Greece, where the dependent variable is Internet banking adoption, which is discrete as it takes the value 0 when a customer is a non-Internet banking user and 1 if the customer is an Internet banking user. P is the probability of adopting Internet Banking and i is the number of customers. We also consider independent variables that affect this adoption, such as demographic characteristics, technology familiarity, branch dissatisfaction, high branch fees and previous experience with ATMs. We follow recent academic papers to formulate our model that will test the adoption of Internet banking. Various papers find that the decision to adopt Internet banking depends on customers' demographic characteristics (Laforet and Li, 2005; Mavri and Ioannou, 2006; Gan *et al.*, 2006; etc), computer and Internet familiarity (Corrocher, 2005; Kim *et al.*, 2006; Lera-Lopez *et al.*, 2010) and past experience with other E-banking technologies (Kolodinsky *et al.*, 2004). We consider senior customers (Old Variable) to be of 60 years of age or more and high income respondents to have a monthly income of € 900 or higher.

Branch dissatisfaction measures whether the respondent is dissatisfied with branch banking services and branch fees are considered to be high if the respondent pays more than € 11 for branch banking transactions. ATM users are respondents that have previous experience with performing banking transactions over ATMs.

Since previous experience with Internet has a positive effect on the adoption of Internet Banking, we add the ATM users, in order to test whether customers that access banks' web pages and conduct transactions over ATMs are more likely to adopt Internet Banking. We

1
2
3 further add branch dissatisfaction and the high branch fees³ variables, as we are able to test
4 whether customers not receiving satisfactory services in bank branches or/and pay high
5 branch fees are more likely to adopt Internet Banking services.
6

7
8 Therefore, we can empirically test whether the characteristics of customers have any impact
9 on the adoption of Internet banking (following the literature) as well as the following
10 hypotheses:
11

12 H₁: Branch dissatisfaction has a positive impact on the adoption of Internet banking services.
13

14 H₂: High branch fees have a positive impact on the adoption of Internet banking services.
15

16 H₃: ATM users are more likely to adopt Internet banking services.
17

20 5. Empirical Results

21
22 Table 2 shows the results from our Logit Model. The chi-squared test, which is the Log
23 Likelihood ratio, tests the overall significance of our regressors. Since the chi-squared value
24 is 49.75, we reject the null hypothesis of overall non-significance and accept that at least one
25 of our regressors is significant in explaining the adoption of Internet banking⁴.
26

27
28 The Old variable is significant at 1% level of significance and negatively related with the
29 adoption of Internet banking. This can be explained by the fact that older customers are not
30 familiar with technology, they are risk averse and they prefer personal branch banking (Gan
31 *et al.*, 2006). Male banking customers are more likely to adopt Internet banking than female
32 customers and this is in line with Lawson and Todd (2003), Akinci *et al.* (2004) and Polasik
33 and Wisniewski (2009). Additionally, university education is significant and positively
34 related with the probability of adopting Internet banking at 10% level of significance. Kim *et*
35 *al.* (2006) and Lera-Lopez *et al.* (2010) find that individuals with higher levels of education
36 are more familiar with Internet technologies and they do not require training. At 10% level of
37 significance we find that high income is also significant and has a positive impact (higher
38 probability) on the decision of customers to adopt Internet banking. Kim *et al.* (2006) and
39 Huang (2005) find that customers with higher levels of income have a high value of time and
40 therefore by performing banking transactions electronically they can save time. Internet
41 Connection also plays an important role in a customers' decision to adopt Internet banking or
42 not. We report that Internet Connection has a positive and significant effect on Greek banking
43 customers' Internet banking adoption; this result is in line with Corrocher (2006) and Kim *et*
44 *al.* (2006).
45

46
47 The ATM users variable is also significant at 1% level of significance and positively related
48 with the adoption of Internet banking; hence we accept H₃. Recent papers report that
49

50
51
52
53
54
55 ³ In Greece, Internet Banking services cost less than branch and ATM services (Giordani *et al.*, 2009).
56

customers with prior experience of other E-banking technologies are more likely to adopt Internet banking (Kolodinsky *et al.*, 2004; Kim *et al.*, 2006; Polasik and Wisniewski, 2009).

Table 2 Logit Results (Equation 2)

	Modelling Internet banking user by Logit			
	Coefficient	Std.Error	t-value	p-value
Constant	-31.05	0.419	-39.2	0.000
Old	-27.051	1.95E-13	-1.39E+14	0.000***
Male	0.737	0.441	1.67	0.096*
Married	-0.508	0.473	-1.07	0.284
Uniedu	1.308	0.486	2.69	0.008***
Highinc	1.592	0.542	2.94	0.004***
Selfemp	-0.516	0.639	-0.807	0.420
Homeowner	0.036	0.51	0.07	0.944
Intconnect	1.217	0.622	1.96	0.051*
Branchdiss	-0.166	0.884	-0.188	0.851
High branch fees	0.629	0.681	0.925	0.356
Atm users	26.651	0.419	63.7	0.000***
log likelihood	-70.998	no of states		2
no of observ.	217	no of parameters		12
baseline log lik.	-95.87	Test Chi ² (11)		49.746
AIC	165.997	AIC/n		0.765
mean Iuser	0.161	VAR(IBUSER)		0.135
	Count	Frequency	Probability	loglik
State 0	182	0.839	0.839	-23.56
State 1	35	0.161	0.161	-31.68
Total	217	1	1	-55.24

Note: *** Significant at 1% level, ** Significant at 5% level, * Significant at 10% level.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we examine the demographic characteristics of Greek banking customers, which effect their decision to adopt Internet banking services. In particular, we test whether high branch fees, branch dissatisfaction as well as any previous experience with other banking technologies (i.e ATMs) have any impact on the probability of Internet banking adoption.

After estimating a logistic model, we report that branch dissatisfaction and high branch fees have no impact to the IB adoption in Greece, therefore Greek customers prefer to visit branches and are willing to pay high fees for their transactions.⁵ This is due to the fact that customers are aware of the potential electronic risk associated with E-banking services and they may prefer to have face to face contact with personal bankers when they conduct their banking transactions (Kolodinsky, 2004 and Pikkarainen *et al.*, 2004). However, we find that ATM users are more likely to adopt Internet Banking services in Greece; this is in line with Kolodinsky *et al.* (2004), Kim *et al.* (2006) and Polasik and Wisniewski (2009).

Banker *et al.* (1998) identify that the continuing adoption of Internet technology is a crucial strategic decision for firms to make, since technology improves the operational processes conducted within firms. Moreover, it enhances competitiveness by giving the adopting firms competitive advantage and higher levels of operating efficiency are achieved. The provision of e-banking in Greece is still in its infancy, probably due to the fact that the Internet penetration in Greece is very low, and customers are more confident in performing their banking transactions in physical bank branches. Banks can exploit the provision of banking services electronically, aiming clearly at the advertisement of these products to customers that are not yet familiar with these services as they offer to banks significant cuts in costs, reduction in staff and physical branches. Banking institutions should also maximise customers' satisfaction, by reducing the banking fees to the minimum. Banks can simplify various transactions that can be processed through telephone or Internet banking, and therefore fewer teller employees would be required. Similarly, cards and loans payments could be processed through electronic kiosks that are located in bank branches. Hence, the number of employees and physical branches can be reduced. In addition, banks can reduce significantly their operational costs, by exploiting economies of scale. By reducing their costs, banks should pass this reduction as a reduction in the fees imposed, while they could also offer lower interest rates on loans and mortgages, and higher interest rates in savings/ deposits accounts. Note that the e-banking fees and commissions for transactions in Greece are less than branch fees, while Internet banking fees are less than the ATM and branch fees (for more details see Giordani *et al.*, 2009). Therefore, it is concluded that Greek customers prefer most the traditional banking because they worry about possible high electronic risk that comes with the foray into e-banking and this in line with Cunningham *et al.* (2005). Hence, Greek banks can attract their customers to electronic services if they design their marketing offers or value propositions according to the needs of these groups.

⁵ Giordani *et al.* (2009) find that in Greece, banks' branch fees are much higher than the Internet banking fees.

1
2
3 Our results provide recommendations to the Greek bank managers and help customers in
4 improving relationships with new technologies. The findings of this study are limited to a
5 population (Thessaloniki) which represents the current situation in Greece. Following the
6 most recent studies, we empirically test several hypotheses related to a number of significant
7 adoption factors. While this research has reported some interesting results from an extended
8 logit model, further research is possible. We should employ a technology acceptance model
9 (TAM), to test the effect of perceived ease-of use, perceived usefulness and technology self-
10 efficacy of customers on the probability of e-banking adoption. We should also examine
11 other hypotheses using recent data from other European countries and compare the results
12 with those from Greece.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

Appendix

Descriptive Statistics and Correlations

Means, standard deviations and correlations

The sample is: 1 - 217

Means

IBUSER	OLD	MALE	MARRIED	UNIEDU	HIGHINC
0.16129	0.073733	0.45622	0.64977	0.42396	0.50691
SELFEMPL	HOMEOWNER	INTERNETCON	BRANCHDISS	HIGHBRANCHFEES	ATMusers
0.13825	0.74654	0.61751	0.078341	0.078341	0.93548

Standard deviations (using T-1)

IBUSER	OLD	MALE	MARRIED	UNIEDU	HIGHINC
0.36865	0.26194	0.49923	0.47814	0.49533	0.50111
SELFEMPL	HOMEOWNER	INTERNETCON	BRANCHDISS	HIGHBRANCHFEES	ATMusers
0.34596	0.43600	0.48712	0.26933	0.26933	0.24624

Correlation matrix:

	IBUSER	OLD	MALE	MARRIED	UNIEDU
IBUSER	1.0000	-0.12373	0.17690	0.0067780	0.33369
OLD	-0.12373	1.0000	-0.010605	-0.014650	-0.17068
MALE	0.17690	-0.010605	1.0000	0.051839	0.15029
MARRIED	0.0067780	-0.014650	0.051839	1.0000	-0.054319
UNIEDU	0.33369	-0.17068	0.15029	-0.054319	1.0000
HIGHINC	0.28214	-0.14498	0.27418	0.22269	0.24926
SELFEMPL	0.0058549	-0.11301	0.14243	-0.013800	0.0075945
HOMEOWNER	0.025087	0.16439	0.065770	0.14962	0.0068165
INTERNETCON	0.24201	-0.28592	0.11168	0.11789	0.31063
BRANCHDISS	-0.034595	-0.016633	0.11171	-0.10951	0.027507
HIGHBRANCHFEES	0.10529	-0.082257	-0.026022	-0.037607	0.096913
ATMusers	0.11516	-0.35657	-0.060743	0.0038053	0.18734
	HIGHINC	SELFEMPL	HOMEOWNER	INTERNETCON	BRANCHDISS
IBUSER	0.28214	0.0058549	0.025087	0.24201	-0.034595
OLD	-0.14498	-0.11301	0.16439	-0.28592	-0.016633
MALE	0.27418	0.14243	0.065770	0.11168	0.11171
MARRIED	0.22269	-0.013800	0.14962	0.11789	-0.10951
UNIEDU	0.24926	0.0075945	0.0068165	0.31063	0.027507
HIGHINC	1.0000	0.15469	0.14579	0.15313	-0.021182
SELFEMPL	0.15469	1.0000	0.17199	0.095455	0.032285
HOMEOWNER	0.14579	0.17199	1.0000	-0.044401	-0.027253
INTERNETCON	0.15313	0.095455	-0.044401	1.0000	-0.15872
BRANCHDISS	-0.021182	0.032285	-0.027253	-0.15872	1.0000
HIGHBRANCHFEES	0.047423	0.18134	0.091025	0.088302	-0.021176
ATMusers	0.078670	-0.11220	-0.15302	0.17929	0.0067557
	HIGHBRANCHFEES	ATMusers			
IBUSER	0.10529	0.11516			
OLD	-0.082257	-0.35657			
MALE	-0.026022	-0.060743			
MARRIED	-0.037607	0.0038053			
UNIEDU	0.096913	0.18734			
HIGHINC	0.047423	0.078670			
SELFEMPL	0.18134	-0.11220			
HOMEOWNER	0.091025	-0.15302			
INTERNETCON	0.088302	0.17929			
BRANCHDISS	-0.021176	0.0067557			
HIGHBRANCHFEES	1.0000	0.0067557			
ATMusers	0.0067557	1.0000			

References

- Akinci, S., Aksoy, S., and Atilgan, E. (2004), 'Adoption of Internet banking among sophisticated consumer segments in an advanced developing country', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. 22 no. 3, pp. 212-232.
- Arnaboldi, F. and Clayes, P. (2010), 'Innovation and Performance of European banks adopting Internet', working paper, Cass Business School, City University London, London: Centre for Banking Research.
- Banker, R. D., Chang, H.-H., & Majumdar, S. K. (1998). Economies of scope in the U.S. telecommunications industry. *Information Economics and Policy*, 10(2), 253-272.
- Bryman, A., & Bell, E. (2003). *Business Research Methods*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Chang, Y. T. (2005), 'Dynamics of Internet Banking adoption', Norwich: University of East Anglia.
- Chortareas, G. E., Cirardone, C., and Ventouri, A. (2009), 'Efficiency and productivity of Greek banks in the EMU era', *Applied Financial Economics*, Vol. 19, pp. 1317-1328.
- Colombo, M. and Mosconi, R. (1995), 'Complementary and cumulative learning effects in the early diffusion of multiple technologies', *The Journal of Industrial Economics*, Vol. 43 No. 1, pp. 13-48.
- Corrocher, N. (2006), 'Internet adoption in Italian banks: An empirical investigation', *Research Policy*, Vol. 35, pp. 533-544.
- Cunningham, L. F., Gerlach, J., & Harper, M. D. (2005). Perceived risk and e-banking services: An analysis from the perspective of the consumer. *Journal of Financial Services Marketing*, 10(2), 165-178.
- Davies, S. (1979), *The Diffusion of Process Innovations*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Delgado, J., Hernando, I., and Nieto, M. J. (2007), 'Do European Primarily Internet banks show scale and experience efficiencies?', *European Financial Management*, Vol. 13 No. 4, pp. 643-671.
- Doyle, P. (1998), *Marketing Management and Strategy*, 2nd, Hempstead: Prentice Hall Europe.
- Eurostat. 2009.
http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/information_society/data/main_tables
(accessed 10 December 2009).

- 1
2
3 Faria, A., Fenn, P., & Bruce, A. (2002). Determinants of adoption of flexible production
4 technologies: Evidence from portuguese manufacturing industry. *Economics of Innovation
5 and New Technology*, 11(6), 569-580.
6
7
8 Flavian, C., Guinaliu, M., and Torres,E. (2006), 'How bricks-and-mortar attributes affect
9 online banking adoption', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*,Vol. 24 No. 6,pp.406-
10 423.
11
12 Fudenberg, D. and Tirole, J. (1985), 'Pre-emption and rent equalisation in the adoption of
13 new technology', *Review of Economic Studies*,Vol. 52, pp.383-401.
14
15
16 Gan, C., Clemes, M., Limsombunchai V., and Weng, A. (2006), 'A logit analysis of
17 electronic banking in New Zealand', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*,Vol. 24 No.
18 6,pp. 360-383.
19
20
21 Giordani, G., Floros, C., and Judge, G. (2009), 'Internet Banking services and fees: The case
22 of Greece', *International Journal of Electronic Finance* ,Vol.3 No. 2,pp. 177-198.
23
24
25 Hernandez, J. M. C., and Mazzon, J. A.(2007), 'Adoption of Internet banking: proposition
26 and implementation of an integrated methodology approach', *International Journal of Bank
27 Marketing* ,Vol.25 No. 2,pp. 72-88.
28
29
30 Huang, H.(2005) ,*Essays in Electronic Money and Banking*, The University of Texas at
31 Austin.
32
33 Karshenas, M. and Stoneman, P. (1995), 'Technological diffusion. In: Stoneman,
34 P.(Ed.),*Handbook of the Economics of Innovation and Technological Change*, Blackwell
35 Publishers, Oxford, pp. 265-297.
36
37
38 Kim, B. M. , Widdows, R., and Yilmazer, T. (2005), *The Determinants of Consumers'
39 Adoption of Internet Banking*, Purdue: Unpublished paper.
40
41
42 Kolodinsky, J. M., Hogarth, J.M., and Hilgert, M, A.(2004), 'The adoption of electronic
43 banking technologies by US consumers', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*,Vol. 22
44 No. 4,pp.238-259.
45
46
47 Lawson, R. and Todd, S. (2003), 'Consumer preferences for payment method: a
48 segmentation analysis', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*,Vol. 21 No. 2,pp. 72-79.
49
50
51 Lera-Lopez, F., Billon, M. and Gill, M. (2010) , 'Determinants of Internet Use in Spain',
52 *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, iFirst,pp. 1-26.
53
54
55 Mansfield, E., Rapport, J., Romeo,A., Wagner, S. and Beardsley, G.(1977), 'Social and
56 private rates of return from industrial innovations', *Quarterly Journal of Economics*,Vol.
57 91,pp. 221-240.
58
59
60 Mavri, M. and Ioannou, G. (2006), 'Consumers' perspectives on online banking
services',*International Journal of Consumer Studies*,Vol. 30 No. 6 ,pp. 552-560.

1
2
3 Polasik, M. and Wisniewski, T. P.(2009), 'Empirical analysis of internet banking in
4 Poland', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. 27 No. 1, pp. 32-52.

5
6 Polatoglu, V. N. and Ekin, S. (2001), 'An empirical investigation of the Turkish consumers'
7 acceptance of Internet Banking services', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. 19
8 No. 4, pp.156-165.

9
10
11 "Profile of Greek Internet users", Observatory for the Greek Information Society, March
12 2007, <http://www.observatory.gr/files/meletes/GRIntUsersProf-r.pdf> (accessed June 25,
13 2010).

14
15 Reinganum, J. (1981), 'On the diffusion of new technology: A game theoretic approach',
16 *Review of Economic Studies* ,Vol.48, pp.395-405.

17
18 Rogers, E. M.(2003), *Diffusion of Innovations*, 5th ed, New York: Free Press.

19
20
21 Sohail, M. S., & Shanmugham, B. (2003). E-banking and customers preferences in Malaysia:
22 An empirical investigation. *Information Sciences*, 150, 207-217.

23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Peer Review

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review